

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,987	11/12/2003	Terrence W. Schmidt	1934-8-3	7342
75	90 04/15/2005	EXAMINER		
Bryan A. Sant	arelli	OLSON, LARS A		
GRAYBEAL JA	ACKSON HALEY LL			
Suite 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
155 - 108th Avenue NE			3617	
Bellevue, WA 98004-5901			DATE MAILED: 04/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/712,987	SCHMIDT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lars A Olson	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 March 2005.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,4-11,18-21,24 and 26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2,3,12-17,22,23 and 25 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 16 April 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Setter and Indepent Office.						

K

Application/Control Number: 10/712,987 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 14, 2005 has been entered.
- 2. An amendment was received from the applicant on January 10, 2005.

Drawings

- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: #115, 112a-b, 113, 201, 105a-b, 150, 551, 552, 553, 554.
- 4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: #200, 215, 305, 400, 405, 410.
- 5. Figure 3a has reference number 350 which should be corrected to be 351.
- 6. Figure 3b has reference number 351 which should be corrected to be 352.
- 7. Figure 3c has reference number 352 which should be corrected to be 353.

1

Application/Control Number: 10/712,987 Page 3

Art Unit: 3617

8. Figure 3d has reference number 353 which should be corrected to be 354.

- 9. Figure 5b has reference number 515 which should be corrected to be 510.
- 10. Figure 5c has reference number 520 which should be corrected to be 510.
- 11. Figure 5d has reference number 525 which should be corrected to be 510.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 13. Claims 1, 4-6, 8-11, 18-21, 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thompson (US 5,791,600).

Thompson discloses the same method as claimed, as shown in Figures 1-10, that is comprised of the steps of uncoupling or retrieving one or more mission modules, as shown in Figures 2-4, with a vessel, defined as Part #32, and removing or installing said one or more mission modules from or into a bay of said vessel, as shown in Figures 8-10, where said mission module is operable to influence non-module resources of said vessel, as described in lines 30-38 of column 2, and lines 13-19 of column 4. Said vessel, in the form of a space ship, aircraft or land vehicle, is also capable of maneuvering itself to a mission module before retrieving said mission module, or maneuvering said mission module either toward or away from said bay, as

Art Unit: 3617

shown in Figure 3. A system interface of said mission module, as described in lines 30-38 of column 2, is also capable of being coupled to a system interface of said vessel.

Thompson also discloses the same vessel as claimed, as shown in Figures 1-10, that is comprised of a frame that is operable to retrieve a module, as shown in Figures 3 and 8-10, where said module, as shown in Figures 2-4, is operable to influence non-module resources of said vessel, as described in lines 30-38 of column 2, and lines 13-19 of column 4.

14. Claims 1, 7 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cushing (US 3,602,730).

Cushing discloses the same method as claimed, as shown in Figures 1-11, that is comprised of the steps of uncoupling or retrieving a mission module, as shown in Figures 9-11, with a vessel, as shown in Figure 1, and removing or installing said mission module from or into said vessel, as shown in Figure 1, where said mission module is operable to influence non-module resources of said vessel, as shown in Figure 2, and described in lines 66-72 of column 3, and where said vessel is a ship, defined as Part #20 in Figure 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

15. Claims 2, 3, 12-17, 22, 23 and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,987

Art Unit: 3617

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lounge (US 5,806,799) discloses a mission module for use in combination with a space ship. Bowden (US 6,223,669), Levedahl (US 5,417,597), Backus (US 4,476,798) and Bylo (US 4,085,695) disclose modular structures for use in combination with a marine vessel.

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Exr. Lars Olson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6685.

lo

April 13, 2005

Lars a. Olson Primary examiner

Page 5

4/13/05